In the Environment Court of New Zealand at Auckland

I mua i te Kōti Taiao o Aotearoa I te rohe o Tāmaki Makaurau

ENV-2020-AKL-000097

under: the Resource Management Act 1991

in the matter of: an appeal pursuant to clause 14(1) of the First Schedule

to the Resource Management Act 1991

between: Dairy NZ Limited

Appellant

and: Waikato Regional Council

Respondent

Notice of Mercury NZ Limited's wish to be party to proceeding

Dated: 28 September 2020



NOTICE OF MERCURY NZ LIMITED'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

- **To** The Registrar Environment Court Auckland
- Mercury NZ Limited (*Mercury*) wishes to be a party to the appeal by Dairy NZ Limited against a decision of the Waikato Regional Council on Proposed Plan Change 1 to the Waikato Regional Plan (*PC 1*).

Mercury's interest in these proceedings

- 2 Mercury made a submission and a further submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- Mercury is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (*RMA*).
- 4 Mercury is interested in those issues that may affect its ability to operate, maintain, upgrade and develop its renewable electricity generation assets (in particular the Waikato Hydro System), including those parts of the proceedings seeking to provide clarification over the use of the term "water bodies".

Relief supported by Mercury

- Mercury supports the Notice of Appeal's clarification sought of the term "water bodies in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments".
- The proposed clarification of the meaning of the term and the proposed consistent use of that term is supported because it:
 - 6.1 results in the most appropriate plan provisions in terms of section 32 of the RMA;
 - 6.2 implements the Council's functions under section 30 of the RMA;
 - 6.3 gives effect to higher order planning documents under section 67(3) of the RMA including the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation 2011 and the Waikato Regional Policy Statement; and
 - 6.4 is consistent with good resource management practice.
- Without limiting the generality of the above, the specific reasons for Mercury's support of the Notice of Appeal's proposed definition and use of the term "water bodies in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments" include:
 - 7.1 It is appropriate to ensure that all tributaries addressed within the definition are subject to Objective 1.
 - 7.2 A holistic approach to the Waikato River and all its tributaries and constituent parts is consistent with Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato.

Mediation

8 Mercury agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Signed for and on behalf of Mercury NZ Limited by its solicitors and authorised agents Chapman Tripp

C/Demiewille-frost

Catherine Somerville-Frost Partner 29 September 2020

Address for service of Mercury:

Mercury NZ Limited
c/- Catherine Somerville-Frost / Alana Lampitt
Chapman Tripp
Level 34, PwC Tower
15 Customs Street West
PO Box 2206
Auckland 1140
Email address: catherine.somerville-frost@chapmantripp.com /
alana.lampitt@chapmantripp.com

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch

100423514/8079303 3