

**BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
AT AUCKLAND
I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA
TĀMAKI MAKĀURAU**

ENV-2020-AKL-000096

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 to the Act

BETWEEN **DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF CONSERVATION**

Appellant

AND **WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL**

Respondent

**NOTICE OF BEEF+LAMB NEW ZEALAND LIMITED'S WISH TO BE A
PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS
29 September 2020**

FLETCHER VAUTIER MOORE
LAWYERS
PO BOX 3029
RICHMOND 7050

Telephone: (03) 543 8301
Facsimile: (03) 543 8302
Email: cthomsen@fvm.co.nz
cluisetti@fvm.co.nz
Solicitor: CP Thomsen/CH Luisetti

TO: The Registrar
Environment Court
Auckland

1. Beef+Lamb New Zealand Ltd (B+LNZ) wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:

Director-General of Conservation v Waikato Regional Council
ENV-2020-AKL-000096.

2. B+LNZ made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
3. B+LNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of s 308C or 308A Resource Management Act 1991.
4. B+LNZ is interested in all of the proceedings.
5. B+LNZ is interested in the following particular issues:
 - (a) Any relief sought that is inconsistent with its appeal *Beef+Lamb New Zealand v Waikato Regional Council* ENV-2020-AKL-99.
 - (b) Any relief sought that seeks to amend PC1 by replacing, altering or removing the objective and policy framework and supporting rules and schedules that provide for low intensity farming.
6. B+LNZ supports in part and opposes in part the relief sought because-
 - (a) B+LNZ supports the findings of the Respondent that the Decisions version of PC1 provides for restoration and protection of the Waikato and Waipā Rivers and their tributaries, subject to the relief sought in its appeal.
 - (b) B+LNZ is a farmer-owned, industry organisation that represents New Zealand's sheep and beef farmers, funded through a levy paid by all beef and sheep meat producers under the Commodity Levies Act 1990.
 - (c) Any relief granted should be the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act, to give effect to Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o

Waikato / Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River, the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement, other relevant national policy statements (notably the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management) and Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Te Tauākī Kaupapa here ā-Rohe), and implement the objectives and policies of the relevant plans, including by supporting and providing for a sustainable and strong rural sector in the Waikato Region that operates while restoring and protecting the Awa.

- (d) The relief sought by the Appellant is in parts inconsistent with the relief sought in B+LNZ's appeal. B+LNZ seek the provisions it has appealed are amended in accordance with that appeal for the reasons set out therein and to the extent the relief sought by the Appellant does not achieve that outcome it is opposed.
- (e) B+LNZ opposes any relief that either:
 - i. Seeks to amend PC1 to the extent that it changes the way PC1 provides for low-intensity farming activities and extensive drystock farms. PC1 appropriately provides for those activities and enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing, while at the same time recognising the Awa are degraded and halting further degradation and providing a pathway for improvement in the short and long term. In particular it opposes the relief sought in respect of, *inter alia*, rule 3.11.4.4, Schedule B and Schedule C (notably stock exclusion and setbacks); or
 - ii. Leads to an outcome that does not give effect to the restoration and protection requirements of Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato / Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River.
- (f) The relief sought to increase the setback distances in Schedule C and the changes to Farm Environment Plans in Schedule D2 are impracticable and unduly restrictive and are therefore opposed by B+LNZ.

- (g) B+LNZ supports in principle the relief sought to recognise the ecosystem health compulsory national value in PC1's objective and policy framework.
 - (h) Subject to the specific matter pleaded above, B+LNZ opposes any other relief sought that seeks to amend the Decisions version of PC1.
7. B+LNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



CP Thomsen
Counsel for s 274 party
Beef+Lamb New Zealand Ltd
29 September 2020

This notice was filed by **CHRISTOPHER PAUL THOMSEN**, solicitor for the party of the firm Fletcher Vautier Moore. The address for service of the above-named party is at the offices of Fletcher Vautier Moore, Solicitors, 265A Queen Street, Richmond, Nelson.

Documents for service on the party may be:

- (a) Posted to the solicitor at Fletcher Vautier Moore, Solicitors, P O Box 3029, Richmond, Nelson; or
- (b) Left for the solicitor at a document exchange for direction to DX WC71017, Richmond, Nelson; or
- (c) Transmitted to the solicitor by facsimile to (03) 543 8302 provided original documents are then posted to the solicitor; or
- (d) Sent by email to cthomsen@fvm.co.nz and cluisetti@fvm.co.nz provided original documents are then posted to the solicitor.

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.