IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT CHRISTCHURCH I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA KI ŌTAUTAHI

Decision No. [2022] NZEnvC 26

IN THE MATTER	of the Resource Management Act 1991
AND	of a notice of motion under section 149T(2) to decide proposed Plan Change 1 to the Regional Plan: Waste for Otago (referred to the Environment Court by the Minister for the Environment under s142(2)(b) of the Act)

BETWEEN

OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL

(ENV-2020-CHC-128)

Applicant

Court:	Environment Judge P A Steven Environment Commissioner K A Edmonds
Hearing:	In Chambers on the papers
Last case event:	Decision issued 30 November 2021
Date of Decision:	4 March 2022
Date of Issue:	4 March 2022

FURTHER DECISION OF THE ENVIRONMENT COURT

A: Pursuant to s149U(6) and cl10(1) to (3) of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the court makes the decisions shown in the record of decisions attached as 'Annexure 1: Plan Change 1 (Dust Suppressants) decisions on submissions'.



COURT

REASONS

Introduction

[1] The Minister for the Environment directed that Plan Change 1 ('PC1') to the Regional Plan: Waste for Otago be referred to the Environment Court under s142(2)(b) of the Act to give a decision on the provisions and matters raised in submissions.

[2] The court's decision *Re Otago Regional Council* [2021] NZEnvC 185 deals with the PC1 topic of the use of dust suppressants, and particularly, waste oil in Chapter 6. The court's decision followed the lodgement of amended provisions agreed by the parties who attended mediation¹ and an affidavit in support of Dolina Lily Lee, a senior analyst freshwater and land at the Regional Council. After a hearing on the papers, the court approved, pursuant to s149U(6) and cl10(1) to (3) of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, an amended version of PC1 as set out in 'Annexure 1: Plan Change 1 (Dust Suppressants) Provisions' to that decision.

A record of decisions on submissions

[3] The court received a memorandum from the Regional Council on 15 February 2022, drawing the court's attention to a possible omission in its decision. It noted that the court did not include a record of decisions on submissions. While the Regional Council acknowledges that a decision on submissions does not require the court to give a decision that addresses each submission individually,² and the decision on submissions and reasons may address the submissions by grouping them according to provisions or matters to which they relate,³ it considers a decision on submissions including the reasons for

¹ Re Otago Regional Council [2021] NZEnvC 185 at [4]-[6].

² RMA, Schedule 1, cl10(3).

³ RMA, Schedule 1, cl10(2)(a).

accepting and rejecting the submissions is needed in order to fulfil the court's decision-making obligations as part of the call-in process.

[4] Ms Lee's affidavit attached (in Annexure 4) recommended decisions on the relief sought in all the submissions with reasons, including on submissions from submitters who were not s274 parties, on Chapter 6 provisions in the notified plan change.

[5] The court accepts the Regional Council's argument and will issue a record of decisions on individual submissions, for completeness. The court has considered Ms Lee's recommended decisions, and concurs with them. However, the reasons for decisions on submissions are encapsulated in the reasoning set out in the court's earlier decision, so Ms Lee's reasons have been omitted from the record of submissions.

Decision on submissions

[6] Pursuant to s149U(6) and cl10(1) to (3) of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the court makes the decisions shown in the record of decisions attached as 'Annexure 1: Plan Change 1 (Dust Suppressants) decisions on submissions'.



Annexure 1: Plan Change 1 (Dust Suppressants) decisions on submissions

Row	Provision	Submitter ID	Submission Point ID	Further Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Support/ Oppose	Decision requested
1	Chapter 6	10007	10007.01		Helen and John Barrett	Oppose	Decline the plan change.
2	Chapter 6	10013	10013.01		Residents of lower Coronet Peak Station Road, Queenstown	Oppose	Delete proposed provisions which disallow use of waste oil as a dust suppre-
3	Chapter 6	10006	10006.01		John Fenby	Oppose	Approve the plan change with amendments - allow limited use of oil suppressant within a specified distance of a rural home.
4	Chapter 6	10019	10019.01		Dunedin City Council:	Support	Amend by providing a 1-year transition period to cease using waste oil as suppressant.
5				F\$102	Federated Farmers of New Zealand – Otago and North Otago provinces	Support	
6				FS104	Southern District Health Board	Oppose	
7	Chapter 6	10014	10006.01		James Miles	Oppose	Approve the plan change with amendments - require all residential houses v 100m of an unsealed road with a speed limit over 30kph to have a 50m s section of road on each side of the dwelling.
8	Chapter 6	10010	10010.02		Matthew Sole	Support	Retain the plan change as notified.
9	Section 6.1.2.2	10027	10027.01		Federated Farmers of New Zealand -	Support	Adopt deletion of the word "lubricating" throughout section 6.1.2.2;
					Otago and North Otago provinces		and
							Delete reference to 1991 survey.
10	Section 6.1.2.2	10031	10031.02		Department of Conservation	Support	Retain additions to Section 6.1.2.2.
		10025	10025.01		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc		
11	Issue 6.2.5	10027	10027.02		Federated Farmers of New Zealand –		Retain Issue 6.2.5 as notified.
12	Objective 6.3.1	10031	10031		Otago and North Otago provinces Director General of Conservation		Retain additions to Objective 6.3.1.
13	Policy 6.4.10	10009	10009.10		Southern District Health Board	Support	Amend final sentence of explanation to Policy 6.4.10 as follows:
10		10007	10007110			ouppoint	With safer alternatives now more readily available, waste oil should no longer
							not be applied as a dust suppressant.
14				FS102	Federated Farmers of New Zealand –	Oppose	
					Otago and North Otago provinces		
15	Policy 6.4.10	10025	10025.02		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support	Retain Policy 6.4.10 as notified.
		10027	10027.03		Federated Farmers of New Zealand – Otago and North Otago provinces		
		10031	10031.03		Director General of Conservation		
		10018	10018.01		Te Rūnanga o Moeraki, Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki, Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou and Hokonui Rūnanga (Kāi Tahu ki Otago)		
					Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu		
		10021	10021.01		Ngāi Tahu Ki Murihiku		
		10023	10023.01				

	Court decision
	Reject
pressant.	Reject
oil as a	Reject
as a dust	The DCC has withdrawn their submissions on this issue. No response required.
	See above
	See above
es within m sealed	Reject
	Reject
	Accept in part
	Accept
	Accept
	Accept
iger <u>must</u>	Accept
	Reject
	Reject

Row	Provision	Submitter ID	Submission Point ID	Further Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Support/ Oppose	Decision requested	Court decision
16	Methods 6.5.6 and 6.5.23	10027	10027		Federated Farmers of New Zealand – Otago and North Otago provinces Royal Forest and Bird Protection	Support	Retain Methods 6.5.6 and 6.5.23 as notified.	Accept
		10025	10025.03		Society of New Zealand Inc Director General of Conservation			
		10031	10031					
17	Rule 6.6.2	10030	10030.01		Beatrice Lee	Support in part	Amend Rule 6.6.2 to require councils to provide another form of dust suppressant that is not toxic.	Reject
18	Rule 6.6.2	10025	10025.04		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support in part	 Amend Rule 6.6.2(c) and (d) as follows: (c) The discharge does not produce an objectionable odour, or a conspicuous oil or grease film, scum or foam in any: (i) Lake, river or <u>Regionally Significant</u>.Wetland; or (ii) Drain or water race that flows to a lake, river, <u>Regionally Significant</u>. Wetland or coastal marine area; or (iii) Bore or soak hole; and (d) The discharge is not undertaken in a manner that results in ponding or overland flow that enters any: (i) Lake, river, <u>Regionally Significant</u>. Wetland or coastal marine area; or (ii) Drain or water race that goes to any lake, river, Regionally Significant 	Accept in part
19				FS102	Federated Farmers of New Zealand –	Oppose	Wetland or coastal marine area.	Reject in part
20				FS103	Otago and North Otago provinces Otago Fish and Game and Central	Support		Accept in part
21				FS106	South Island Fish and Game Kāi Tahu ki Otago	Cubbout		Apport in part
		40024	40024.05	13700		Support		Accept in part
22	Rule 6.6.2	10031	10031.05		Department of Conservation	Support in part	Retain additions, and delete from Rule 6.6.2(c)(i) and (ii) and (d)(i) and (ii): " <u>Regionally Significant</u> " and replace with <u>"natural</u> "	Accept
23				FS102	Federated Farmers of New Zealand – Otago and North Otago provinces	Support in part		Accept
24				FS103	Otago Fish and Game and Central South Island Fish and Game			Accept
25				FS104	Southern District Health Board	Oppose		Reject
26				FS105	Royal Forest and Bird Society	Support		Accept
27				FS106	Kāi Tahu ki Otago	Support		Accept
28	Rule 6.6.2	10029	10029.02		Lynne Stewart	Support	Retain Rule 6.6.2 as notified.	Reject
		10031	10031.04		Director General of Conservation			
		10009	10009.02		Southern District Health Board			
		10018	10018.02		Kāi Tahu ki Otago			
		10021	10021.02		Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu			
		10023	10023.02		Ngāi Tahu Ki Murihiku			
		10027	10027.04		Federated Farmers of New Zealand – Otago and North Otago provinces			
29	Rule 6.6.3	10027	10027.05		Federated Farmers of New Zealand -	Support	Retain Rule 6.6.3 as notified. – Although consideration of the matter as a restricted	Accept in part

Row	Provision	Submitter ID	Submission Point ID	Further Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Support/ Oppose	Decision requested	Court decision
					Otago and North Otago provinces		discretionary activity should be	
							contemplated.	
30	Rule 6.6.3	10018	10018.03		Kai Tahu ki Otago	Support	Retain Rule 6.6.3 as notified.	Accept
		10021	10021.03		Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu			
		10023	10023.03		Ngāi Tahu Ki Murihiku			
		10025	10025.05		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc			
31	Rule 6.6.4	10018	10018.04		Kāi Tahu ki Otago	Support	Retain Rule 6.6.4 as notified.	Accept
		10021	10021.04		Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu			
		10023	10023.04		Ngāi Tahu Ki Murihiku			
		10025	10025.06		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc			
32	AER 6.7.6.	10027	10027.06		Federated Farmers of New Zealand –		Amend Section 6.7.6 as follows:	Reject
					Otago and North Otago provinces		The <u>use of waste oil as a dust suppressant is avoided, and the</u> adverse effects of the use of <u>other</u> waste lubricating oil as a dust suppressants are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	
33	AER 6.7.6.	10025	10025.07		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc		Retain Section 6.7.6 as notified.	Accept
		10031	10031.06		Director General of Conservation			
34	Definition of Waste Oil	10025	10025.12		Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc		Retain the definition as notified.	Accept
		10031	10031.12		Director General of Conservation			
35	Plan Change 1	10008	10008.01		Maori Point Vineyard Ltd	Support	Approve the plan change with amendments - Add new section which requires monitoring of bores and other sources of potable water for contamination by agricultural chemicals and nutrient loads.	Reject
36				FS102	Federated Farmers of New Zealand – Otago and North Otago provinces	Oppose		Accept
37	Plan Change 1	10022	10022.01		Shaping Our Future Incorporated	Support	Approve Plan Change 1	Accept in part
38	Plan Change 1	10024	10024.01		Otago Fish and Game Council and the Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Support intent of Plan Change 1.	Accept in part
39				FS105	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support		Accept in part
40	Plan Change 1	10031	10031.01		Department of Conservation	Support	Retain provisions unless altered by any specific general, or consequential relief sought below.	Accept in part
41				FS103	Otago Fish and Game Council and the Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support		Accept in part

